APPENDIX B

Guidance for Assessing Specific Types of Glamping Accommodation: Key Policy Considerations

Guidance for Assessing Specific Types of Glamping Accommodation: Key Policy Considerations

The following table sets out key policy considerations for assessing specific types of glamping accommodation – yurts, tepees, bell tents, wooden pods/tents, shepherd's huts and tree houses. These types of glamping facilities are included as they have becoming increasingly popular in recent years and are likely to continue to be so. Should proposals for other types of sustainable visitor accommodation/glamping accommodation come forward these will also be assessed in accordance with the policy considerations, as appropriate, set out below. As stated in Section 4, the starting point for considering proposals for sustainable forms of visitor accommodation will be Strategic Policy S11 – Visitor Economy.

Type of						
Glamping Accommodation	S11	T1	T2	RE3(d)	Other Relevant	Comments
					Policies	
Yurts	Supports proposals for yurts,	This policy would	This policy is not	Criterion d) of Policy	Consideration will	Proposals for yurts, tepees and
Tepees	tepees and bell tents where	apply/offer support	applicable to proposals	RE3 is applicable and	need to be given to a	bell tents should be of an
Bell Tents	they are considered to	where yurts, tepees and	for yurts, tepees and	offers support for	proposal's compliance	appropriate scale. The scale of
	constitute sustainable tourism	bell tents are considered	bell tents as these	yurts, tepees and	with other relevant	the proposal will therefore be a
	accommodation and of an	to constitute a tented	types of	bell tents where	LDP policies, including	key consideration in its
	appropriate scale, subject to	camping site i.e. units	accommodation do not	proposals are linked	landscape (LC1/LC5),	assessment against the policy
	other relevant policy	are not permanent, the	constitute new build	to agricultural	highways (MV1),	framework. An increase in the
	considerations including	upper parts made from	development as	diversification	natural environment	scale of a proposal could result
	landscape impact (policies LC1	material which could be	referred to in Policy T2.	schemes.	(NE1), flood risk (SD3)	in potential non-compliance with
	and LC5), highway safety	easily removed. Where			etc.	LDP policies, including for
	(policy MV1) and flood risk	relevant, consideration				example Policy S11 in terms of
	(Policy SD3).	must be given to the			Relevant policies are	whether it would constitute
		criteria set out in T1.			likely to vary on a case	sustainable tourism
					by case basis	accommodation, and Policy LC5
		However, where			depending on site	in terms of impact on landscape
		proposals for yurts,			context and proposal.	character.
		tepees and bell tents			Applicants are advised	Similarly the cumulative impacts
		include the provision of			to engage in the	of a proposal will be an
		more permanent type			Council's pre-planning	important consideration in
		structures often			application advice	assessing proposals for yurts,
		associated with these			service to determine	tepees and bell tents.
		forms of			which key LDP policies	
		accommodation such as			apply (see section 5).	Consideration should also be
		wooden decking, policy				given to supporting

Type of						
Glamping Accommodation	S11	T1	T2	RE3(d)	Other Relevant Policies	Comments
		T1 would be less applicable as proposals would no longer be akin to a tented camping site as referred to in T1.				infrastructure associated with a proposal, including amenity blocks, the degree of permanency of the units and occupancy restrictions. Guidance on these matters is set in Section 4 of this SPG (paragraphs 4.17-4.20).
Wooden Pods/ Tents	Supports proposals for wooden pods/tents where they are considered to constitute sustainable tourism accommodation and are of an appropriate scale, subject to other relevant policy considerations including landscape impact (policies LC1 and LC5), highway safety (policy MV1) and flood risk (Policy SD3).	Policy T1 is not applicable to proposals for wooden pods/tents as they are not a touring facility and not classified as a 'tent' (tented camping site) as referred to in Policy T1 given the greater degree of permanency of the structures.	Policy T2 is not applicable to proposals for wooden pods/tents as these types of accommodation do not constitute new build development as referred to in Policy T2.	Criterion d) of Policy RE3 is applicable and offers support for wooden pods/tents where proposals are linked to agricultural diversification schemes (as wooden pods/huts are not new build structures).	Consideration will need to be given to a proposal's compliance with other relevant LDP policies, including landscape (LC1/LC5), highways (MV1), natural environment (NE1), flood risk (SD3) etc. Relevant policies are likely to vary on a case by case basis depending on site context and proposal. Applicants are advised to engage in the Council's pre-planning application advice service to determine which key LDP policies apply (see section 5).	Proposals for wooden pods/tents should be of an appropriate scale. The scale of the proposal will therefore be a key consideration in its assessment against the policy framework. An increase in the scale of a proposal could result in potential non-compliance with LDP policies, including for example Policy S11 in terms of whether it would constitute sustainable tourism accommodation, and Policy LC5 in terms of impact on landscape character. Similarly the cumulative impacts of a proposal will be an important consideration in assessing proposals for wooden pods/tents.

Type of						
Glamping Accommodation	S11	T1	T2	RE3(d)	Other Relevant Policies	Comments
						Consideration should also be given to supporting infrastructure associated with a proposal, including amenity blocks, the degree of permanency of the units and occupancy restrictions. Guidance on these matters is set in Section 4 of this SPG (paragraphs 4.17-4.20).
Shepherd's Huts	Supports proposals for shepherd's huts where they are considered to constitute sustainable tourism accommodation, and are of an appropriate scale, subject to other relevant policy considerations including landscape impact (policies LC1 and LC5), highway safety (policy MV1) and flood risk (Policy SD3).	Policy T1 is not applicable to proposals for shepherd's huts as this type of accommodation would not fall within the scope of policy T1 as are not typically considered to constitute a 'touring' facility as referred to in the policy.	Policy T2 is not applicable to proposals for shepherd's huts as this type of accommodation does not constitute new build development as referred to in Policy T2.	Criterion d) of Policy RE3 is applicable and offers support for shepherd's huts where proposals are linked to agricultural diversification schemes (as shepherd's huts are not new build structures)	Consideration will need to be given to a proposal's compliance with other relevant LDP policies, including landscape (LC1/LC5), highways (MV1), natural environment (NE1), flood risk (SD3) etc. Relevant policies are likely to vary on a case by case basis depending on site context and proposal. Applicants are advised to engage in the Council's pre-planning application advice service to determine	Proposals for shepherd's huts should be of an appropriate scale. The scale of the proposal will therefore be a key consideration in its assessment against the policy framework. An increase in the scale of a proposal could result in potential non-compliance with LDP policies, including for example Policy S11 in terms of whether it would constitute sustainable tourism accommodation, and Policy LC5 in terms of impact on landscape character. Similarly the cumulative impacts of a proposal will be an important consideration in assessing proposals for shepherd's huts.

Type of						
Glamping Accommodation	S11	T1	T2	RE3(d)	Other Relevant Policies	Comments
					which key LDP policies apply (see section 5).	Consideration should also be given to supporting infrastructure associated with a proposal, including amenity blocks, the degree of permanency of the units and occupancy restrictions. Guidance on these matters is set in Section 4 of this SPG (paragraphs 4.17-4.20).
Tree Houses	May offer support for proposals for tree houses where they are considered to constitute sustainable tourism accommodation by virtue of scale, innovative design etc., subject to other relevant policy considerations including landscape impact (policies LC1 and LC5) and highway safety (policy MV1).	Policy T1 is not applicable to proposals for tree houses as this type of accommodation would not fall within the scope of policy T1 as are not a tented or touring facility.	Tree houses outside settlement boundaries would be contrary to Policy T2 as the policy does not support proposals for new build permanent/self-catering accommodation outside settlement boundaries (unless ancillary to established medium/large hotels). However, this could be balanced against other LDP policies e.g. S11, S8, to allow such development where a tree house is considered to	This policy does not offer support for tree houses linked to agricultural diversification schemes as tree houses are considered to be new build development.	Consideration will need to be given to a proposal's compliance with other relevant LDP policies, including landscape (LC1/LC5), highways (MV1), natural environment (NE1), flood risk (SD3) etc. Relevant policies are likely to vary on a case by case basis depending on site context and proposal. Applicants are advised to engage in the Council's pre-planning application advice service to determine	Tree houses are permanent structures and are considered to be operational development. Proposals for tree houses must be of an appropriate scale. The scale of the proposal will therefore be a key consideration in its assessment against the policy framework. An increase in the scale of a proposal could result in potential noncompliance with LDP policies, including for example Policy S11 in terms of whether it would constitute sustainable tourism accommodation, and Policy LC5 in terms of impact on landscape character.

Type of Glamping Accommodation						
	S11	T1	T2	RE3(d)	Other Relevant Policies	Comments
			constitute sustainable, low impact tourist accommodation given its scale, innovative design etc. This would need to be considered on a case by case basis.		which key LDP policies apply (see section 5).	Similarly the cumulative impacts of a proposal will be an important consideration in assessing proposals for tree houses. Consideration should also be given to supporting infrastructure associated with a proposal, including amenity blocks, and occupancy restrictions. Guidance on these matters is set in Section 4 of this SPG (paragraphs 4.18-4.20).